

REMARKS

The claims have been amended to overcome the asserted deficiencies which have existed in the claims for some time. It is noted that the claims were examined in the past with these now allegedly insurmountable deficiencies. Nonetheless, the corrections have now been made and the issue should now be overcome.

The argument that a camera uses a multiplexed signal is noted and it is respectfully submitted that such a construction of multiplexed defies conventional usage of those skilled in the art. It simply reads "multiplexed" to cover anything and, therefore, is impermissible. It is respectfully submitted that this is a reason why the panel previously reopened prosecution.

With respect to the conversion of the Section 103 rejection to a Section 102 rejection, it is noted that the concession that the reference lacked an element dooms the current Section 102 rejection. Likewise, the adopted definition of "integrated" is noted, but it is believed that it is misapplied. Like the definition of "multiplexed," it simply reads out the word "integrated" since, under the Examiner's construction of integrated, despite language to the contrary in the cited definition, everything in the world is integrated. And, even if the Examiner were to get over the integrated issue in claim 12, he previously noted that Takagi did not teach the reflector passing a wavelength to be detected.

The simple fact of the matter is that Takagi shows nothing whatsoever of interest. Reconsideration should be undertaken.

Respectfully submitted,



Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
1616 South Voss Road, Suite 750
Houston, TX 77057-2631
713/468-8880 [Phone]
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation